

Competitor Comparison Graphs

CovaWound™ Foam


Non-Adherent Foam Dressing

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ Foam

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Foam dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than both ALLEVYN and ActivHeal®.




Date Tested: March 2017

Absorbency measured in **grams per gram**

CovaWound™ Foam

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Foam dressing when measured in **grams per gram** is better than both ALLEVYN and ActivHeal®.




Date Tested: March 2017

Fluid Retention measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ Foam

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ Foam dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than both ALLEVYN and ActivHeal®.




Date Tested: March 2017

Fluid Retention measured in **grams per gram**

CovaWound™ Foam

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ Foam dressing when measured in **grams per gram** is better than both ALLEVYN and ActivHeal®.



Date Tested: March 2017


Competitor Comparison Graphs

CovaWound™ Foam (Bordered)

Non-Adherent Foam Dressing with Adhesive Border

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured in **grams per gram**



Covalon Technologies (Europe) Ltd
No 1 Mill, The Wharf, Shardlow,
Derbyshire, DE72 2GH
+44 (0)1332 322469 www.covalon.co.uk
CW981-UK-2 (03/2019)

www.covalon.co.uk

covalon
TECHNOLOGIES LTD.

Competitor Comparison Graphs

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam


Self-Adherent Soft Silicone Foam Dressing

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Foam dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than ALLEVYN Gentle.




Date Tested: October 2016

Fluid Retention measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Foam dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than ALLEVYN Gentle.




Date Tested: October 2016

Fluid Retention measured in **grams per gram**

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Foam dressing when measured in **grams per gram** is better than ALLEVYN Gentle.



Date Tested: October 2016

Competitor Comparison Graphs

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam (Bordered)


Self-Adherent Soft Silicone Foam Dressing with Border

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured in **grams per 10cm²**

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam (Bordered)

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Foam (Bordered) dressing when measured in **grams per 10cm²** is better than ALLEVYN Gentle Border and comparable with Mepilex® Border.




Date Tested: February 2017

Fluid Retention measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam (Bordered)

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Foam (Bordered) dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than ALLEVYN Gentle Border and comparable with Mepilex® Border.



Date Tested: February 2017

Competitor Comparison Graphs

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite


Self-Adherent Soft Silicone Foam Dressing (Lite)

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than both Advazorb Lite and Mepilex® Lite.




Date Tested: December 2016

Absorbency measured in **grams per gram**

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite dressing when measured in **grams per gram** is better than both Advazorb Lite, Mepilex® Lite and TIELLE™ Lite.




Date Tested: December 2016

Fluid Retention measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than both Advazorb Lite and Mepilex® Lite.



Date Tested: December 2016

Competitor Comparison Graphs

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite (Bordered)


Self-Adherent Soft Silicone Foam Dressing with Border (Lite)

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite (Bordered)

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite (Bordered) dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than both Mepilex® Border Lite and ALLEVYN Gentle Border Lite.




Date Tested: March 2017

Absorbency measured in **grams per gram**

CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite (Bordered)

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Foam Lite (Bordered) dressing when measured in **grams per gram** is better than both Mepilex® Border Lite and ALLEVYN Gentle Border Lite.



Date Tested: March 2017

Covalon Technologies (Europe) Ltd
No 1 Mill, The Wharf, Shardlow,
Derbyshire, DE72 2GH
+44 (0)1332 322469 www.covalon.co.uk

CW984-UK-2 (03/2019)

www.covalon.co.uk

covalon
TECHNOLOGIES LTD.

Competitor Comparison Graphs

CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid (Bordered)


Hydrocolloid Dressing with Border

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured in **grams per 10cm²**

CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid (Bordered)

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid (Bordered) dressing when measured in **grams per 10cm²** is better than GRANUFLEX® (Bordered).




Date Tested: January 2017

Fluid Handling Capacity measured in **grams per 10cm²**

CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid (Bordered)

The **fluid handling capacity** of the CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid (Bordered) dressing when measured in **grams per 10cm²** is better than GRANUFLEX® (Bordered).



Date Tested: January 2017

Competitor Comparison Graphs

CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid Thin


Hydrocolloid Dressing (Thin/Transparent)

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured in **grams per 10cm²**

CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid Thin

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid Thin when measured in **grams per 10cm²** is better than both Comfeel® Plus Transparent and DuoDERM® Extra Thin.




Date Tested: January 2017

Fluid Handling Capacity measured in **grams per 10cm²**

CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid Thin

The **fluid handling capacity** of the CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid Thin when measured in **grams per 10cm²** is better than both Comfeel® Plus Transparent and DuoDERM® Extra Thin.



Date Tested: January 2017

Competitor Comparison Graphs

CovaWound™ Alginate


Alginate Dressing

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ Alginate

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Alginate dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than Kaltostat® and Sorbsan®.




Date Tested: November 2016

Fluid Retention measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ Alginate

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ Alginate dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than Kaltostat® and Sorbsan®.




Date Tested: November 2016

Wet Force Break Horizontal Max measured in **N**

CovaWound™ Alginate

The **wet force break horizontal Max** of the CovaWound™ Alginate dressing when measured in **N** is better than Kaltostat® and Sorbsan®.




Date Tested: November 2016

Wet Force Break Horizontal Max measured in **N/mm**

CovaWound™ Alginate

The **wet force break horizontal Max** of the CovaWound™ Alginate dressing when measured in **N/mm** is better than Kaltostat® and Sorbsan®.




Date Tested: November 2016

Wet Force Break Vertical Max measured in **N**

CovaWound™ Alginate

The **wet force break vertical, Max** of the CovaWound™ Alginate dressing when measured in **N** is better than Kaltostat® and Sorbsan®.




Date Tested: November 2016

Wet Force Break Vertical Max measured in **N/mm**

CovaWound™ Alginate

The **wet force break vertical Max** of the CovaWound™ Alginate dressing when measured in **N/mm** is better than Kaltostat® and Sorbsan®.



Date Tested: November 2016

Competitor Comparison Graphs

CovaWound™ SuperAbsorbent


SuperAbsorbent Dressing

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ SuperAbsorbent

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ SuperAbsorbent dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than KerraMax Care® and Cutimed® Siltec.




Date Tested: January 2017

Fluid Retention measured in **grams per cm²**

CovaWound™ SuperAbsorbent

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ SuperAbsorbent dressing when measured in **grams per cm²** is better than Cutimed® Siltec and comparable with KerraMax Care®.



Date Tested: January 2017